

FAHA Assignment • Chapter 2 • Excellence

QUESTION 1: You succeed in measuring the extent to which the words used in a book's blurb predict the gender of the author of the book. Let's tell a story!

Let's imagine that...

- In the 1940s, there were very few women writing monographs in a certain field. Blurbs on the back of women's books looked similar to those on men's books.
- By the 1970s, however, blurbs began to treat men and women authors in the field quite differently.
- By the 2000s, greater awareness of equitable treatment, and sensitivity to gendered language choices, led this effect to decline.

Draw (sketch on paper* or digitally) a "signal strength" graph, using the example for the Old Bailey as a template [Chapter 1; 15:10; included at the end of these instructions]. Your graph should show the change in blurbs' capacity to signal the book author's gender, as described above. The graph should include: (1) labeled x and y axes (with words, briefly describe what each axis means), (2) a graph element corresponding to the signal, and (3) an indication of how the different time periods are represented in the graph. The graph does not need to be quantitatively accurate but should include some indication of the relevant years, in response to (3).

** Drawings on paper can be photographed and uploaded. Please ensure your writing is legible in the photo.*

Grading Rubric :

- Axes labelled descriptively: 2 points
- Graph element corresponding to signal: 2 points
- Indication of time periods: 1 point

QUESTION 2: Imagine you have a set of blurbs from a given time period. For each blurb, in addition to its date of appearance, you have information about whether or not the blurb comes from a newspaper (e.g., *Financial Times*, *New York Times Book Review*, *Times Literary Supplement*), or from an individual scholar identified solely by their academic institution (e.g., "Jonathan Lear, University of Chicago").

Imagine we did an analysis similar to the French Revolution parliamentary speeches study, measuring "novelty" in this set of blurbs. Looking year by year, we find that the blurbs written by individual, academic scholars are more novel than the blurbs from newspapers. Suggest a possible explanation for how this pattern of novelty might have emerged.

Help your peer-reviewers: proof-read your responses, and use a level of language appropriate for an "interested member of the public" (e.g., do not use jargon and do not take a complex fact for granted). Your answers should be succinct; aim for approximately 250 words. Peer-reviewers have the authority to award zero points to a submission that exceeds a total of 500 words.

Grading Rubric:

- Non-technical description of how novelty is measured in this set of blurbs: 2 points
 - Specific description of a scenario that could account for higher novelty among blurbs from academic scholars compared to those from newspapers: 2 points
 - Account of why/how that scenario emerged: 1 point
-
- Total: X of 10 points

